

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government: This bill eliminates the current requirement that certain disputes between homeowners and homeowners associations be referred to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation for assignment of a mediator.

Safeguard Individual Liberty: This bill decreases restrictions on condominium associations when amending declarations of condominium, articles of incorporation, or bylaws. This bill increases regulation of homeowners' associations.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

A condominium is a "form of ownership of real property created pursuant to ch. 718, F.S., which is comprised entirely of units that may be owned by one or more persons, and in which there is, appurtenant to each unit, an undivided share in common elements".¹ A condominium is created by recording a declaration of condominium in the public records of the county in which the condominium will be located.² A declaration is like a constitution in that it:

strictly governs the relationships among condominium units owners and the condominium association. Under the declaration, the Board of the condominium association has broad authority to enact rules for the benefit of the community.³

A declaration may include covenants and restrictions concerning the use, occupancy, and transfer of the units permitted by law with reference to real property.⁴ A declaration of condominium may be amended as provided in the declaration. If the declaration does not provide a method for amendment, it may generally be amended as to any matter by a vote of two-thirds of the units.⁵

Homeowners' association means a Florida corporation responsible for the operation of a subdivision in which voting membership is made up of parcel ownership and in which membership is a mandatory condition of parcel ownership and which is authorized to impose assessments that, if unpaid, may become a lien on the parcel.⁶ Homeowners' associations are regulated under chapter 720, F.S.

Effect of Bill

Covenant Revitalization

Proposed Changes

This bill creates s. 712.11, F.S., to provide that a homeowners' association that is not subject to chapter 720 may use the procedures established in ss. 720.403, F.S. - 720.407, F.S., to revive covenants that have lapsed under the terms of chapter 712, F.S.

¹ Section 718.103(11), F.S.

² Section 718.104(2), F.S.

³ *Neuman v. Grand View at Emerald Hills*, 861 So.2d 494, 496-497 (Fla. 4th DCA 2003)

⁴ Section 718.104(5), F.S.

⁵ Section 718.110(1)(a), F.S.

⁶ Section 720.301(9), F.S.

Mortgagee Consent or Joinder of Amendments to Declaration of Condominium

Current Law

Section 718.110(11), F.S., provides that any declaration of condominium recorded after April 1, 1992, may not require the consent or joinder of mortgagees in order for an association to pass an amendment to the declaration. This is limited to amendments which do not materially affect the rights or interests of the mortgagees, or as otherwise required by the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. Current law provides that such consent may not be unreasonably withheld. In the event mortgagee consent is provided other than by properly recorded joinder, such consent must be evidenced by affidavit of the association recorded in the public records of the county where the declaration is recorded.⁷

Proposed Changes

The bill provides findings by the Legislature that consent or joinder to amendments that do not materially affect the rights or interests of mortgagees is unreasonable and is a substantial burden on the condominium owners and association. This bill also provides that there is a compelling state interest in enabling condominium association members to approve amendments.

The bill limits the enforceability of certain provisions in or amendments to declarations, articles of incorporation or bylaws that require the consent or joinder of some or all mortgagees of units or any other portion of the condominium property for those mortgages recorded on or after October 1, 2006. Such provisions or amendments recorded prior to October 1, 2006, remain enforceable. The bill provides a process for obtaining addresses of mortgagees and contacting them to obtain their consent or joinder.

Failure of any mortgagee to respond to a request for the consent or joinder to a proposed amendment within 60 days after the date that a request is sent to the mortgagee is deemed to have consented to the amendment. The bill also limits the ability of certain mortgagees to void amendments.

Mixed-Use Condominiums

Current Law

Section 718.404, F.S., pertains to mixed-use condominiums, which are condominiums where there are both residential and commercial units. Section 718.404(1), F.S., provides that for mixed-use condominiums, the owner of a commercial unit does not have the authority to veto amendments to the declaration, articles of incorporation, bylaws, or rules or regulations of the association. Section 718.404(2), F.S., is also amended to provide that when the number of residential units is equal to or greater than 50% of the total number of units operated by the association, owners of the residential units are entitled to vote for a majority of the seats on the board of administration.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends subsections (1) and (2) of s. 718.404, F.S., to provide that these subsections are intended to be applied retroactively as a remedial measure.

Homeowners' Associations

Current Law

Current law regulates homeowners' associations in ch. 720, F.S., and s. 720.302, F.S., provides that ch. 720, F.S. does not apply to condominium associations.

⁷ Section 718.110(11), F.S.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.302, F.S., to provide an exception to the current law providing that chapter 720, which regulates homeowners' associations, does not apply to condominium associations.

This bill amends s. 720.302(4), F.S. to provide that ch. 720, F.S. does not apply to any association regulated under chapters 718 (condominiums), 719 (cooperatives), 721 (timeshares), or 723 (mobile home parks), except to the extent that a provision of ch. 718, 719, or 721, F.S. is expressly incorporated into ch. 720, F.S. for the purpose of regulating homeowners' associations.

This bill amends s. 720.302(5), F.S., to remove the phrase "not for profit" to conform to the other changes in this section. This bill also amends s. 720.302(5), F.S., to provide that corporations operating residential homeowners' associations in Florida are to be governed by and subject to ch. 607, F.S. (corporations), if the association was incorporated under the provisions of that chapter, or to ch. 617, F.S. (not for profit corporations), if the association was incorporated under the provisions of that chapter.

Homeowners' Association Board Meetings

Current Law

Section 720.303(2), F.S., provides procedures for association board meetings. A meeting of the board occurs whenever a quorum of the board gathers to conduct association business. Board meetings are open to all members, except for those meetings between the board and its attorney relating to proposed or pending litigation. Members also have the right to attend all board meetings and speak on any matter on the agenda for at least 3 minutes.

Notice of a board meeting must be posted in a conspicuous place in the community at least 48 hours prior to a meeting, except in an emergency. If notice of the board meeting is not posted in a conspicuous place, then notice of the board meeting must be mailed or delivered to each association member at least 7 days prior to the meeting, except in an emergency. For associations that have more than 100 members, the bylaws may provide for a reasonable alternative to this posting or mailing requirement. These alternatives include publication of notice, provision of a schedule of board meetings, conspicuous posting and repeated broadcasting of a notice in a certain format on a closed-circuit cable television system serving the association, or electronic transmission if the member consents in writing to such transmission.⁸

A board may not levy assessments at a meeting unless the notice of the meeting includes the nature of those assessments and a statement that the assessments will be considered at the meeting.⁹

Directors may not vote by proxy or by secret ballot at board meetings, except that secret ballots may be used in the election of officers. This also applies to meetings of any committee or similar body when a final decision will be made regarding the spending of association funds. Proxy voting or secret ballots are also not allowed when a final decision will be made on approving or disapproving architectural decisions with respect to a specific parcel of residential property owned by a member of the community.¹⁰

Proposed Changes

⁸ Section 720.303(2)(c)1, F.S.

⁹ Section 720.303(2)(c)2, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 720.303(2)(c)3, F.S.

This bill amends s. 720.303(2)(a), F.S., as amended by section 18 of chapter 2004-345 and section 135 of chapter 2005-2, Laws of Florida,¹¹ to provide that provisions of this subsection which requires open meetings also apply to the meetings of any committee or other similar body when a final decision is made regarding the spending of association funds and to meetings of any body vested with the power to approve or disapprove architectural decisions with respect to a specific parcel of residential property owned by a member of the community.

This bill also repeals s. 720.303(2), F.S., as amended by section 2 of chapter 2004-345 and section 15 of chapter 2004-353, Laws of Florida, to remove conflicting versions of this subsection.

Homeowners' Association Inspection and Copying of Records

Current Law

Section 720.303(5), F.S., requires that a homeowners' association allow its members to inspect and copy its official records within 10 days of a written request for access. A failure to comply with such a request in a timely fashion creates a rebuttable presumption that the association failed to do so, and entitles the requesting party to actual damages, or to a minimum of \$50 per calendar day, commencing on the eleventh business day. A homeowners' association may adopt reasonable written rules governing the frequency, time, location, notice, records to be inspected, and manner of inspections but may not impose a requirement that a parcel owner demonstrate any proper purpose for the inspection, state any reason for the inspection, or limit a parcel owner's right to inspect records less than one 8-hour business day per month. The association may impose fees to cover the costs of providing copies of the official records, including without limitation, the costs of copying. The association may charge up to 50 cents per page for copies made on the association's copy machine. If the association does not have a copy machine available where the records are kept, or if the records requested to be copied exceed 25 pages, then the association may have copies made by an outside vendor and may charge the actual cost of copying.

Current law expressly exempts the following from inspection by a member or parcel owner: any record protected by attorney-client or work-product privilege; information obtained in association with the lease, sale or transfer of a parcel that is otherwise privileged by state or federal law; disciplinary, health, insurance and personnel records of the association's employees; or medical records of parcel owners or other community residents.¹²

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.303(5), F.S., to provide that an association or its agent is not required to provide a prospective purchaser or lienholder with information about the residential subdivision or the association unless required by this chapter to be made available or disclosed. This bill also provides that an association or agent may charge a reasonable fee to a prospective purchaser or lienholder or the current parcel owner or member for providing good faith responses to requests for information, except for information required by law. The fee cannot exceed \$50 plus the reasonable cost of photocopying and any attorney's fees incurred by the association in connection with the response.

Homeowners' Association Budgets

Current Law

Section 720.303(6), F.S., provides that an association must prepare an annual budget.

¹¹ In 2004 the Legislature passed SB 1184, which amended s. 720.303(2), F.S., in section 2 and section 18 of the bill. In 2004, the Legislature passed SB 2984, which also amended s. 720.303(2), F.S., in section 15 of the bill. This bill is amending s. 720.303(2), F.S., as amended by section 18 of chapter 2004-345 and section 135 of chapter 2005-2, Laws of Florida, and is repealing s. 720.303(2), F.S., as amended by section 2 of chapter 2004-345 and section 15 of chapter 2004-353, Laws of Florida.

¹² Section 720.303(1), (2), (3), (4), F.S.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.303(6), F.S., to require that:

- The annual budget provide for the annual operating expenses and the budget must be paid for by the association.
- The annual budget may include reserve accounts for capital expenditures and deferred maintenance for which the association is responsible to the extent that the association's governing documents do not limit increases in assessments.
- If the budget of the association does not provide for reserve accounts and the association is responsible for the repair and maintenance of capital improvements that may result in special assessments if reserves are not provided, each financial report for the preceding fiscal year must contain a statement in conspicuous type as provided by the bill.
- An association is deemed to have provided for reserve accounts when reserve accounts have been initially established by the developer or when the membership of the association affirmatively elects to provide for reserves. Once established, the reserve accounts must be funded, maintained or funding waived.
- The amount to be reserved must be computed by using a formula that is based upon estimated remaining useful life and estimated replacement cost or deferred maintenance expense of each reserve item.
- Once a reserve account or reserve accounts are established, the membership of the association may provide for no reserves or less reserves.
- After the turnover, a developer may vote its voting interest to waive or reduce the funding of reserves.
- Reserve funds and any interest shall remain in the reserve account, and may be used only for authorized reserve expenditures.
- Prior to turnover of control of an association by a developer to parcel owners, the developer-controlled association may not vote to use reserves for purposes other than that for which they were intended without the approval of a majority of all non-developer voting interests.

Homeowners' Association Financial Reporting

Current Law

Section 720.303(7), F.S., requires homeowners' associations to prepare an annual financial report within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. The association must provide each member with a copy of the annual financial report or a written notice that a copy of the financial report is available upon request at no charge to the member.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.303(7), F.S., to increase from 60 to 90 days the time that an association has to prepare and complete an annual financial report after the close of the fiscal year. Within 21 days after the final financial report is completed by the association, but no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year, the association must provide each member with a copy of the annual financial report.

This bill amends s. 720.303(7)(a), F.S., to provide that financial statements are to be completed in accordance with the accounting principles adopted by the Florida Board of Accountancy.

Architectural Control Covenants and Parcel Owner Improvements

Proposed Changes

This bill creates s. 720.3035, F.S., to provide that:

- An association may review and approve plans and specifications for the location, size, type or appearance of any structure, or enforce such standards, only to the extent as specifically stated or reasonably inferred in the declaration of covenants.
- An association may only restrict the right of a parcel owner to select from options for the use of material, the size or design of the structure or improvement, or the location of the structure or improvement on the parcel as provided in the declaration of covenants.
- For the purpose of establishing setback lines specifically stated in the declaration of covenants, each parcel may be deemed to have only one front. When the declaration of covenants does not provide for specific setback lines, the applicable county or municipal setback lines shall apply.
- Each parcel owner is entitled to the rights and privileges provided in the declaration of covenants concerning the use of the parcel, and the construction of permitted structures and improvements on the parcel and such rights and privileges shall not be unreasonably impaired by the association.
- An association may not enforce any policy that is inconsistent with the rights and privileges of a parcel owner set forth in the declaration of covenants, whether the policy is uniformly applied or not.

Attorney's Fees for Actions between an Association and a Member

Current Law

Section 720.305, F.S., provides that an action to enforce the rules and provisions established by the homeowners' association can be brought by the association or by any member against the association, a member, any director of the association, and any tenants, guests, or invitees occupying a parcel or common area. This section also provides that the prevailing party in any litigation is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs. A member that has successfully sued his or her association must, under current law, return a portion of those fees back to the association. Thus, under current law a member cannot be fully compensated for his or her attorney's fees.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.305, F.S., to provide that any member who prevails against an association and is awarded attorney's fees may also be awarded an amount sufficient to cover the member's pro-rata portion of those fees.

Meetings of Association Members; Amendments

Current Law

Section 720.306(1)(c), F.S., provides that an amendment may not materially and adversely alter the proportionate voting interest attached to a parcel or increase the proportion or percentage by which a parcel shares in the common expenses of the association, unless all owners and lienholders join in the execution of the amendment. A change in quorum requirements is not an alteration of voting interests.

Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 720.306(1)(c), F.S., adding the provision that the merger or consolidation of associations under ch. 607, F.S. (regulating corporations) or ch. 617, F.S. (regulating non-profit corporations), is not considered a material or adverse alteration of the proportionate voting interest appurtenant to a parcel.

Transition of Homeowners' Association Control

Current Law

Section 720.307, F.S., provides procedures for turning over control of an association from the developer to parcel owners. The transition of association control begins with the election of the board of directors of the homeowners' association by the members. At the time the members elect the board of directors, the developer must deliver various documents to the board.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.307, F.S., to provide an additional document that the developer must provide to the board of directors. Along with the documents that must be provided by the developer under current law, the bill requires that the developer also provide the board of directors the financial records, including the statements of the association, and source documents from the incorporation of the association through the date of turnover. This bill also provides that an independent certified public accountant must audit the records and determine that the developer was charged with, and paid, the proper amounts of assessments.

The language in this section of the bill is taken from language found in s. 718.301(4)(c), F.S., of the Condominium Act. The current law for homeowners' associations pertaining to transition of association control is very similar to the current Condominium Act and this bill provides conformity between homeowners' associations and the condominium associations.

Guarantees of Common Expenses

Current Law

The developer of a community is responsible for paying the costs of the common expenses of the community until the sale of the parcels to a purchaser at which time the developer pays a proportionate share of the common expenses with the parcel owners. Condominium law allows a developer to be excused from payment of common expenses if the common expenses of all unit owners are guaranteed not to increase and the developer agrees to pay all common expenses incurred but not covered by unit owner payments during the period of the guarantee.¹³

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.308, F.S., to incorporate the guarantees of common expenses provision found in condominium law into homeowners' association law. Currently, s. 720.308, F.S., provides for guarantees of common expenses if it is provided for in the declaration. This bill amends s. 720.308, F.S., to provide for guarantees of common expenses if a guarantee is not included in the purchase contract or declaration. This bill provides that a guarantee is effective only upon approval of a majority of the voting interests of the members other than the developer. This bill also provides that:

- The time period of a guarantee must have a specific beginning and ending date or event;
- The dollar amount of the guarantee must be an exact dollar amount for each parcel identified in the declaration;
- The cash payments required from the developer must be when the revenue collected by the association are not sufficient to provide payment for all common expenses; and
- The expenses incurred in the production of non-assessment revenues, not in excess of the non-assessment revenues, must not be included in the common expenses. If expenses attributable to non-assessment revenues exceed non-assessment revenues, then the developer must only fund the excess expenses.

¹³ Section 718.116, F.S.

Dispute Resolution

Current Law

Section 720.311, F.S., established dispute resolution procedures for homeowners' associations and their members. Current law requires that recall disputes must be resolved by binding arbitration conducted by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR). Any recall dispute filed with the DBPR must be conducted in accordance with the provisions of ss. 718.1255 and 718.112(2)(j), F.S., which establish requirements and procedures for the removal of condominium directors and dispute resolution procedures for condominiums. Section 718.1255, F.S., requires that arbitration proceedings relating to the recall of a condominium director must be conducted pursuant to the arbitration procedures in s. 718.1255, F.S., and provides that, if the condominium association fails to comply with the final order of arbitration, the DBPR may take action pursuant to s. 718.501, F.S. Section 718.501, F.S., establishes the powers and duties of the DBPR, which include the power to conduct investigations, issue orders, conduct consent proceedings, bring actions in civil court on behalf of unit owners, lessees, or purchasers for declaratory relief, injunctive relief, or restitution, and to assess civil penalties.

Section 720.311(1), F.S., provides that the DBPR must conduct mandatory binding arbitration of election disputes in accordance with s. 718.1255, F.S. Election and recall disputes are not eligible for mediation. Current law requires a \$200 filing fee and authorizes the DBPR to assess the parties an additional fee in an amount adequate to cover the DBPR's costs and expenses. The fee paid to the DBPR must be a recoverable cost in the arbitration proceeding, and the prevailing party must be paid its reasonable costs and attorney's fees in an amount found reasonable by the arbitrator. Section 720.311(1), F.S., provides that any petition for mediation or arbitration shall toll the applicable statute of limitations. The statute authorizes the DBPR to adopt rules to implement this section.

Section 720.311(2)(a), F.S., provides that the following disputes must be filed with the DBPR for mandatory mediation by the division before the dispute is filed in court:

- Disputes between an association and a parcel owner regarding use of, or changes to, the parcel or the common areas and other covenant enforcement disputes;
- Disputes regarding amendments to the association documents;
- Disputes regarding meetings of the board and committees appointed by the board;
- Disputes regarding membership meetings not including election meetings; and
- Disputes regarding access to the official records of the association.

The mediation is conducted under the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the proceeding is privileged and confidential to the same extent as court-ordered mediation. Current law provides that persons not a party to the suit may not attend the mediation conference without the consent of all the parties. Current law also requires a \$200 fee to defray the costs of the mandatory mediation, authorizes the DBPR to charge additional fees to cover the costs of the mandatory mediation, and requires that the parties share the costs of mediation equally, unless the parties agree otherwise. If the mandatory mediation is not successful, the parties may file the dispute in a court or enter the dispute into binding or non-binding arbitration to be conducted by the DBPR or private arbitrator. Section 720.311(2)(d), F.S., provides that the mediation procedure may be used by non-mandatory homeowners' associations.

Section 720.311(2)(c), F.S., provides standards to DBPR certification and training of mediators and arbitrators and requires that DBPR-certified mediators must also be certified by the Florida Supreme Court.

Section 720.311(3), F.S., currently provides that the DBPR must develop an education program to assist homeowners, associations, board members, and managers in understanding the use of alternative dispute resolution techniques in resolving disputes between parcel owners and associations

or between owners. Current law also provides that the certification program for arbitrators and mediators and the education program for homeowners' associations and their members would be funded by moneys and filing fees generated by the arbitration and mediation proceedings.

Proposed Changes

This bill amends s. 720.311, F.S., to provide:

- That all references to mediation be changed to "presuit" mediation;
- That disputes subject to presuit mediation do not include the collection of any assessments, fines, or other financial obligations, including attorney's fees and costs, or any action to enforce a prior mediation settlement;
- That the presuit mediation requirements of s. 720.311, F.S., do not apply to any dispute where emergency relief is required;
- A form for the written offer to participate in presuit mediation titled "Statutory Offer to Participate in Presuit Mediation" that must be substantially followed by the aggrieved party and which is served on the responding party. The form provides that the party may waive presuit mediation so that this matter may proceed directly to court;
- That service of the statutory offer is effected by sending the statutory form, or a letter that conforms substantially to the statutory form, by certified mail, with an additional copy being sent via regular first-class mail, to the address of the responding party as it appears on the books and records of the association;
- That dispute resolution to resolve disputes between associations and a parcel owner is no longer within the jurisdiction of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation;
- That the responding party will have 20 days from the date the offer is mailed to serve a response in writing. The response is to be served by certified mail, with an additional copy being sent by regular first-class mail to the address shown on the offer;
- That the mediator may require advance payment of fees and costs. This bill removes the \$200 filing fee requirement and other language providing for the fees for a DBPR mediator.
- That failure of either party to appear for mediation, respond to the offer, agree on a mediator, or pay the fees and costs will entitle the other party to seek an award of the costs and fees associated with mediation;
- That if presuit mediation cannot be conducted within 90 days after the offer to participate, then an impasse will be deemed unless both parties agree to extend the deadline;
- That any issue or dispute that is not resolved at presuit mediation, the prevailing party in any subsequent arbitration or litigation proceeding shall be entitled to seek recovery of all costs and attorney's fees incurred in the presuit mediation process; and
- That DBPR is no longer responsible for certification programs for mediators or education programs for homeowners' associations.

Beach Access

Proposed Changes

The bill creates s. 718.106(5), F.S., to prohibit local ordinances or regulations that limit the ability of unit owners or a condominium association to allow access by certain persons such as unit owners and their guests via their units or common areas to beaches that adjoin the condominium.

Equity Facilities Clubs

Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 719.103, F.S., to define the term "equity facilities club". It also amends s. 719.507, F.S., to require that laws, ordinances, and regulations governing buildings and improvements on equity facilities clubs be equally applicable to all such buildings and improvements.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 creates s. 712.11, F.S., to provide that homeowners' associations may use procedures established in ss. 720.403, F.S. - 720.407, F.S., to revive covenants that have lapsed under the terms of Chapter 712, F.S.

Section 2 amends s. 718.106, F.S., regarding limitations on beach access permitted by condominium unit owners or associations.

Section 3 amends s. 718.110, F.S., providing for certain procedures for amending declarations of condominium, articles of incorporation, or bylaws where the declarations of condominium, articles of incorporation, or bylaws requires the association to obtain consent and joinder of mortgagees.

Section 4 amends s. 718.112, F.S., to revise the date after which local jurisdictions may require completion of retrofitting with sprinklers of common areas of certain condominiums.

Section 5 amends s. 718.114, F.S., limiting the ability of a condominium association to acquire leaseholds, memberships, or other possessory or use interests.

Section 6 amends s. 718.404, F.S., to provide that subsections (1) and (2) are intended to be applied retroactively as a remedial measure.

Section 7 amends s. 719.103, F.S., to create the definition of "equity facilities club".

Section 8 amends s. 719.507, F.S., regarding the application of certain building or zoning laws, ordinances, and regulations to equity facilities clubs.

Section 9 amends s. 720.302, F.S., to provide an exception to the current law that ch. 720, F.S., does not apply to any association under ch. 718, F.S., ch. 719, F.S., or ch. 721, F.S.

Section 10 amends s. 720.303, F.S., addressing open meetings requirements, the provision of information by the homeowners' association to prospective buyers or sellers, the provision of financial reports, and the requirements for association budgets.

Section 11 repeals s. 720.303(2), F.S.

Section 12 creates s. 720.3035, F.S., relating to architectural control covenants and parcel owner improvements.

Section 13 amends s. 720.305, F.S., to provide that a member who prevails in an action against an association may recover additional amounts for his or her member share of the assessment that he or she will have to pay for the association's legal fees and costs.

Section 14 amends s. 720.306, F.S., revising provisions pertaining to meetings of members and amendments providing that merger or consolidation of associations is not considered a material or adverse alteration of the proportionate voting interest appurtenant to a parcel.

Section 15 amends s. 720.307, F.S., to require that at the time the members are entitled to elect at least a majority of the board of directors, the developer must deliver the financial records of the association.

Section 16 amends s. 720.308, F.S., to establish guarantees of common expenses if a guarantee is not included in the purchase contract or declaration.

Section 17 amends s. 720.311, F.S., revising the dispute resolution provisions for disputes between an association and a parcel owner.

Section 18 provides an effective date of July 1, 2006, except as otherwise expressly provided in this bill.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) estimates that this bill will result in a reduction of \$126,018 in mediation filing fees and expenses received by the DBPR. It will also result in a reduction of \$9,199 in service charges provided to General Revenue.¹⁴

2. Expenditures:

The Department of Business and Professional Regulation will experience decreased workload as a result of no longer being required to perform homeowner association mediations. The department states, however, that it did not receive additional FTE's to perform homeowners' association mediations when the DBPR was originally assigned those responsibilities in FY 2004-05. The staff who have been conducting homeowners' association mediations also performs condominium mediations and the DBPR states they would return to those responsibilities full-time.

According to the Legislative Analysis Form provided by DBPR, the bill's changes regarding mediation may result in increased court filings. During the roughly 12-month period from October 1, 2004 through November 22, 2005, the DBPR states that it received 1,007 petitions for mediation. Approximately 560 or 56% of these were settled and did not result in court filings.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

¹⁴ The fiscal impact on state government was provided by Matilde Phillips of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation on April 3, 2006.

2. Other:

Article I, Section 10 of the Florida Constitution provides: "[n]o bill of attainder, ex post facto law or law impairing the obligation of contracts shall be passed."¹⁵ "A statute contravenes the constitutional prohibition against impairment of contracts when it has the effect of rewriting antecedent contracts, that is, of changing the substantive rights of the parties to existing contracts."^{16 17}

The Supreme Court of Florida in *Pomponio v. Claridge of Pompano Condominium, Inc.*, 378 So. 2d 774 (Fla. 1979) held that laws impairing contracts can be unconstitutional if they unreasonably and unnecessarily impair the contractual rights of citizens.¹⁸ The *Pomponio* Court indicated that the "well-accepted principle in this state is that virtually no degree of contract impairment is tolerable in this state." *Pomponio*, 378 So. 2d at 780. When seeking to determine what level of impairment is constitutionally permissible, a court "must weigh the degree to which a party's contract rights are statutorily impaired against both the source of authority under which the state purports to alter the contractual relationship and the evil which it seeks to remedy." *Id.*

In other words, "[t]his method requires a balancing of a person's interest not to have his contracts impaired with the state's interest in exercising its legitimate police power." *U.S. Fidelity and Guar. Co. v. Department of Ins.*, 453 So. 2d 1355, 1360-61 (1984). What should be reviewed when considering this balancing test?

[T]he United States Supreme Court recently outlined the main factors to be considered in applying this balancing test. The threshold inquiry is whether the state law has, in fact, operated as a substantial impairment of a contractual relationship. The severity of the impairment is said to increase the level of scrutiny to which the legislation will be subjected. Total destruction of contractual expectations is not necessary for a finding of substantial impairment. On the other hand, state regulation that restricts a party to gains it reasonably expected from the contract does not necessarily constitute a substantial impairment. In determining the extent of the impairment, we are to consider whether the industry the complaining party has entered has been regulated in the past. The Court long ago observed: One whose rights, such as they are, are subject to state restriction, cannot remove them from the power of the State by making a contract about them. If the state regulation constitutes a substantial impairment, the State, in justification, must have a significant and legitimate public purpose behind the regulation such as the remedying of a broad and general social or economic problem. Furthermore, since *Blaisdell*, the Court has indicated that the public purpose need not be addressed to an emergency or temporary situation. One legitimate state interest is the elimination of unforeseen windfall profits. The requirement of a legitimate public purpose guarantees that the State is exercising its police power, rather than providing a benefit to special interests. Once a legitimate public purpose has been identified, the next inquiry is whether the adjustment of the rights and responsibilities of contracting parties [is based] upon reasonable conditions and [is] of a character appropriate to the public purpose justifying [the legislation's] adoption. Unless the State itself is a contracting party, as is customary in reviewing economic and social regulation, courts properly defer to legislative judgment as to the necessity and reasonableness of a particular measure.

¹⁵ Article 1, Section 10(1) of the U.S. Constitution provides: "No State shall . . . pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts"

¹⁶ 10a Fla. Jur. s. 414, Constitutional Law.

¹⁷ The term impair is defined as "to make worse; to diminish in quantity, value, excellence, or strength; or to lessen in power or weaken." 10a Fla. Jur. s. 414, Constitutional Law.

¹⁸ The Florida Supreme Court has adopted the method of analysis from the United States Supreme Court in cases involving the contract clause. *Pomponio*, 378 So. 2d at 780.

U.S. Fidelity and Guar. Co., 453 So.2d at 1360-61 (Fla. 1984) (internal citations and quotations omitted).

This bill amends s. 718.110, F.S., by providing certain notice requirements that the association and the unit owners must provide to mortgagees if the governing documents are amended and the mortgage was entered into before the effective date of this bill. This bill also provides that a mortgagee who does not respond to the notice is deemed to have consented to the amendment. Unit owners and mortgagees enter into a contractual relationship when they agree to the terms of a mortgage. Unit owners and a condominium association enter into a contractual relationship when the unit owner buys the condominium, thus agreeing to the rules and bylaws of the association. Both contractual relationships are entered into based on the law in place at that time. By changing the law as it relates to amending condominium documents and providing that the new laws will apply to preexisting contracts, this bill could possibly be a violation of the Contract Clause of the Florida Constitution.

There could also be some possible constitutional problems with the changes made in this bill to subsections (1) and (2) of s. 718.404, F.S. This bill amends this section to make these subsections apply retroactively. This could lead to contract clause violations if it were found to impair existing contracts.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None. However, the bill may require the repeal of Ch. 61B-82, F.A.C., containing the mediation rules of procedure.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On January 25, 2006, the Civil Justice Committee adopted 9 amendments to this bill. The amendments made the following revisions to the bill:

- Provides for the use of accounting principles as adopted by the Florida Board of Accountancy.
- Provides that the annual budget of homeowners' associations include the annual operating expenses and reserve accounts for capital expenditures and deferred maintenance.
- Provides that complete financial records of homeowners' associations be included with the documents that the developer must deliver at the time the members of the association are entitled to elect at least a majority of the board of directors.
- Provides for guarantees of common expenses when a guarantee is not included in the purchase contract or declaration. The amendment provides for the guarantee time period, maximum level of assessments, cash funding requirements during the guarantee, calculations of guarantor's final obligation, and for funding of expenses incurred in the production of non-assessment revenues.
- Provides that any member who prevails against an association and is awarded attorney's fees may also be awarded an amount sufficient to cover the member's pro-rata portion of those fees.
- Provides that in s. 718.404, F.S., regarding mixed-use condominiums, the following provisions are intended to apply retroactively as a remedial measure: (1) In mixed-use condominiums, the commercial unit owner cannot veto condominium documents; and (2) Where there are 50% residential units, the residential owners are entitled to vote for a majority of the seats on the board of administration.
- Removes section 8 of the bill regarding requirements for proposed revived declarations and other governing documents.
- Removes the phrase "not for profit" from s. 720.302(5), F.S., regarding the purpose and scope of ch. 720, F.S., so that it is in conformity with other revisions in the bill.

- Changes the phrase "100 members" to the more correct "100 parcels"¹⁹ in s. 720.303(2)(c)1., F.S.

The bill was then reported favorably with a committee substitute.

On April 4, 2006, the Judiciary Appropriations Committee adopted a strike-all amendment. This amendment:

- Revises s. 718.110, F.S., regarding mortgagee consent to certain amendments to declarations, articles of incorporation, or bylaws of condominium associations.
- Revises the date after which local authorities having jurisdiction may require completion of retrofitting of common areas of a certain condominiums with a sprinkler system to the end of 2025.
- Defines the term "equity facilities club" and requires that laws, ordinances, and regulations governing buildings and improvements on equity facilities clubs be equally applicable to such buildings and improvements.
- Creates s. 718.106, F.S., to prohibit local ordinances or regulations that limit the access of certain persons such as unit owners or their guests via their units or common areas to beaches that adjoin condominiums.
- Creates s. 720.3035, F.S., governing architectural control decisions by a homeowners' association.
- Revises s. 720.303, F.S., regarding homeowners' association budgets.

The bill was then reported favorably with a committee substitute.

¹⁹ This change was necessary because the use of members could vary if you had several members per parcel or if every parcel only had one member. By using 100 parcels, there is a determined set number that will not vary.